

Protocol of the 19th EURL-*Salmonella* Interlaboratory Comparison Study (November 2014) on serotyping, phage typing and PFGE typing of *Salmonella* strains, for the NRL-*Salmonella* laboratories

Introduction

The European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) - *Salmonella* organises the nineteenth interlaboratory comparison study on the typing of *Salmonella* strains amongst the National Reference Laboratories for *Salmonella* (NRLs-*Salmonella*).

The main objective of this typing study is to test the performance of the participating laboratories for serotyping and optionally phage typing and PFGE typing of *Salmonella* spp. The study will take place in week 45 and onwards. The timetable can be found on page 4 of this protocol.

Like in the last years, all data have to be reported through an electronic result form. The link for this will be sent to you by email, and will also become available at the EURL-*Salmonella* website. **Submission of serotyping and phage typing data** has to be finalised on **8 December 2014** at the latest.

The data on phage typing will be forwarded by the EURL-*Salmonella* to Public Health England (PHE, London, United Kingdom) for further analyses.

The study on PFGE typing will use a separate web based test report, and this link will be sent to the participants in a second email. Deadline for the electronic **submission of PFGE typing results** is **22 December 2014** at the latest.

Transportation of the *Salmonella* strains to the laboratories

The strains for the serotyping part and/or the phage typing part and/or the PFGE part of the study will be transported all in one (larger) parcel. The strains will be sent as Biological Substance Category B (UN 3373) with a door-to-door courier to your laboratory. The shipment of the strains is scheduled for Monday 3 November 2014.

Serotyping

A total number of 20 *Salmonella* strains (coded S1 - S20) have to be serotyped.

An additional *Salmonella* strain (S-21), being a non-*S. enterica* subsp. *enterica* strain, is also included in the package and serotyping of this strain is optional.

The method routinely performed in your laboratory has to be used in the study. Each laboratory is allowed to send strains for serotyping to another reference laboratory in their country, if this is part of the normal routine procedure.

As explained at the recent EURL-*Salmonella* Workshops, please note to be very careful in following the exact instructions of the various manufacturers of the different sera available.

The results for each strain have to be reported with the formula for the O-antigens and H-antigens and the serovar names according to the White-Kauffman-le Minor scheme of 2007 (<http://www.pasteur.fr/ip/portal/action/WebdriveActionEvent/oid/01s-000036-089>).

Laboratories have to report only those results, on which the identification of serovar names is based.

Definite conclusions can only be based on agglutination with mono-specific antisera. Otherwise it is better to identify the strains by giving the antigenic formula as far as detected.

Examples of preferred reporting:

O-antigens	H-antigens (phase 1)	H-antigens (phase 2)	Serovar name
9,12	g,m	-	Enteritidis
4,12	i	2	Typhimurium
4,5,12	i	-	4,5,12:i:-
6,7	-	1,5	6,7:-:1,5
42	g,t	-	42:g,t:-

The evaluation of the serotyping results will be performed by the EURL-*Salmonella* according to Table 1.

Table 1. Evaluation of serotyping results

Results	Evaluation
Auto-agglutination or Incomplete set of antisera (outside range of antisera)	Not typable
Partly typable due to incomplete set of antisera or Part of the formula (for the name of the serovar) or No name serovar	Partly correct
Wrong serovar or mixed sera formula	Incorrect

Hendriksen et al. (J Clin Microbiol 47(9): 2729-2736) reported that colonial form variation may occur with the expression of the O:6₁ antigen by some serogroup C₂ serovars. Concerning the EURL-*Salmonella* interlaboratory comparison studies on serotyping it was decided to consider the serovar pairs involved (e.g. *S. Newport*/*S. Bardo* and *S. Hadar*/*S. Istanbul*) not as distinct serovars, though they should be reported as actually typed by the participants. In practice this means that for example a 6,8:z₁₀:e,n,x typed strain has to be reported as Hadar, and a 8:z₁₀:e,n,x typed strain has to be reported as Istanbul, but that either result is considered as correct.

At the EURL-*Salmonella* workshop in Bilthoven in May 2007, the EURL-*Salmonella* made a proposal for the level of 'good performance' that the NRLs need to achieve during an interlaboratory comparison study on serotyping. Penalty points are given for strains that are typed incorrectly. A distinction is made between the five most important human health related *Salmonella* serovars (as indicated in EU legislation) and all other strains:

- **4 penalty points:** Incorrect typing of *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium* (including the monophasic variant), *S. Hadar*, *S. Infantis* or *S. Virchow*
or assigning the name of one of these five serovars to another strain.
- **1 penalty point:** Incorrect typing of all other *Salmonella* serovars.

For each NRL-*Salmonella* the total number of penalty points is determined. The NRL meets the criterion of 'good performance' if it has fewer than four penalty points. A follow-up study will be organised for NRLs with four penalty points or more. All NRLs of the EU Member States not meeting the criterion of 'good performance' have to participate in this follow-up study.

Phage typing

A total number of 20 *Salmonella* strains are included in the phage typing study:

- 10 strains of *S. Enteritidis* coded E1 - E10
- 10 strains of *S. Typhimurium* coded T1 - T10

The evaluation of the phage typing results will be done in collaboration with the *Salmonella* Reference Service of PHE, London, UK.

Note that, as discussed at this years' workshop, phage typing is offered for the last time in this typing study.

PFGE typing

A total number of 10 *Salmonella* strains will be included in the PFGE typing study, coded P1 - P10.

Participants are asked to test these strains using their own routine PFGE method for this and give details on it in the electronic test report. Also, participants are requested to email their PFGE gel images as a TIFF file to wilma.jacobs@rivm.nl. Be sure to include at least your **laboratory code** in the name of these .tif files, e.g. Lab99_PFGE2014.tif

The evaluation of the PFGE typing results, after digestion with XbaI, will be done on the quality of the PFGE images only (no evaluation of gel analysis in Bionumerics yet) and quality grading will be done according to the PulseNet guidelines (www.pulsenetinternational.org) as shown in Annex 1 of this Protocol.

Reporting of the results

Like last years, all data have to be reported through an electronic result form. The link, also to become available on the EURL-*Salmonella* website, and password for this form will be sent by email to the participants in week 45, along with a short guidance on handling this electronic form.

Submission of serotyping and phage typing data has to be finalised on **8 December 2014** (23:59 h CET) at the latest.

The study on PFGE typing will use a separate web based test report, and this link will be sent to the participants in a separate email. Deadline for the electronic **submission of PFGE typing results** is **22 December 2014** (23:59 h CET) at the latest.

Mind that the electronic result forms are no longer accessible after these deadlines! In case you foresee problems with the deadline(s), please contact us beforehand.

If you have questions or remarks about this study, or in case having problems using the electronic result forms, please contact:

Wilma Jacobs-Reitsma
EURL-*Salmonella*
Laboratory for Zoonoses and Environmental Microbiology (Z&O)
National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)
P.O. Box 1
3720 BA Bilthoven
tel. number: +31 30 274 4290
fax. number: +31 30 274 4434
e-mail: wilma.jacobs@rivm.nl

If you have questions or remarks on the phage typing, please contact:

Elizabeth de Pinna
Salmonella Reference Service,
Gastrointestinal Bacteria Reference Unit,
Public Health England (PHE),
61 Colindale Avenue, London NW9 5HT
tel. number: + 44 20 8327 6136
fax number: + 44 20 8905 9929
e-mail: Elizabeth.DePinna@phe.gov.uk

Timetable of the 19th interlaboratory comparison study (2014) on serotyping and optional phage typing/PFGE typing of *Salmonella* for NRLs-*Salmonella*

Week	Date	Topic
38	15 -19 September	Request for participation phage typing, PFGE typing (serotyping is obligatory for NRLs)
43	20-24 October	Emailing of the protocol 2014 and instructions for the web based test reports to the NRLs. The PFGE typing part will use a separate web based test report.
45	3-7 November	Shipment of the parcels to the participants as Biological Substance Category B (UN 3373) by door-to-door courier service. If you did not receive the parcel by 7 November , please contact the EURL- <i>Salmonella</i> .
45	3-7 November	Sending the link and the password for the web based test reports to the participants by email.
45	3-7 November	Identification of the strains can start upon arrival of the strains, according to the usual practice of the laboratories.
49	8 December at the latest	Deadline for completing the electronic submission of serotyping and phage typing results: 8 December 2014 (23:59 h CET) After this deadline, the electronic submission form for serotyping and phage typing results will be closed.
51	22 December at the latest	Deadline for completing the electronic submission of PFGE typing results: 22 December 2014 (23:59 h CET)
	December	Data checks at the EURL- <i>Salmonella</i> .
	January 2015	Serotyping and phage typing: Reporting of individual laboratory results and Interim Summary Report.
	March 2015	PFGE typing: Reporting of individual laboratory results and Interim Summary Report.
	Summer 2015	Final report.

ANNEX 1 PulseNet Guidelines on quality grading of PFGE images

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE FOR TIFF QUALITY GRADING	CODE: PNQ01		
	Effective Date:		
	5	09	2005

1. **PURPOSE:** To describe guidelines for the quality of TIFF images submitted to the PulseNet national databases.
2. **SCOPE:** This applies to all TIFF images submitted to PulseNet, thereby allowing comparison of results with other PulseNet laboratories.
3. **DEFINITIONS/TERMS:**
 - 3.1 TIFF: Tagged Image File Format
 - 3.2 TIFF Quality: The grading of the appearance and ease of analysis of a TIFF, according to the TIFF Quality Grading Guidelines within this SOP. This is a main component of the evaluation of a TIFF submitted for certification or proficiency testing.
 - 3.3 SOP: Standard Operating Procedure
4. **RESPONSIBILITIES/PROCEDURE:**

Parameter	TIFF Quality Grading Guidelines				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Image Acquisition and Running Conditions	By protocol, for example: - Gel fills whole TIFF - Wells included on TIFF - Bottom band of standard 1-1.5 cm from bottom of gel	- Gel doesn't fill whole TIFF but band finding is not affected	Not protocol; for example, one of the following: - Gel doesn't fill whole TIFF and band finding is affected - Wells not included on TIFF - Bottom band of standard not 1-1.5 cm from bottom of gel - Band spacing of standards doesn't match global standard	Not protocol; for example, >1 of the following: - Gel doesn't fill whole TIFF and this affects band finding - Wells not included on TIFF - Bottom band of standard not 1-1.5 cm from bottom of gel - Band spacing of standards doesn't match global standard	
Cell Suspensions	The cell concentration is approximately the same in each lane	1-2 lanes contain darker or lighter bands than the other lanes	- >2 lanes contain darker or lighter bands than the other lanes, or - At least 1 lane is much darker or lighter than the other lanes, making the gel difficult to analyze	The cell concentrations are uneven from lane to lane, making the gel impossible to analyze	
Bands	Clear and distinct all the way to the bottom of the gel	- Slight band distortion in 1 lane but doesn't interfere with analysis - Bands are slightly fuzzy and/or slanted - A few bands (e.g., ≤3) difficult to see clearly (e.g., DNA overload), especially at bottom of gel	- Some band distortion (e.g., nicks) in 2-3 lanes but still analyzable - Fuzzy bands - Some bands (e.g., 4-5) are too thick - Bands at the bottom of the gel are light, but analyzable	- Band distortion that makes analysis difficult - Very fuzzy bands. - Many bands too thick to distinguish - Bands at the bottom of the gel too light to distinguish	
Lanes	Straight	- Slight smiling (higher bands in the outside lanes vs. the inside) - Lanes gradually run longer toward the right or left - Still analyzable	- Significant smiling - Slight curves on the outside lanes - Still analyzable	- Smiling or curving that interferes with analysis	
VERSION:		REPLACED BY:		AUTHORIZED BY:	
				Page 1 of 2	

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE FOR TIFF QUALITY GRADING	CODE: PNQ01
	Effective Date: 5 09 2005

Restriction	Complete restriction in all lanes	- One to two faint shadow bands on gel	- One lane with many shadow bands - A few shadow bands spread out over several lanes	- Greater than 1 lane with several shadow bands - Lots of shadow bands over the whole gel
Gel Background	Clear	- Mostly clear background - Minor debris present that doesn't affect analysis	- Some debris present that may or may not make analysis difficult (e.g., auto band search finds too many bands) - Background caused by photographing a gel with very light bands (image contrast was "brought up" in photographing gel-makes image look grainy)	- Lots of debris present that may or may not make analysis difficult (i.e., auto band search finds too many bands)
DNA Degradation (smearing in the lanes)	Not present	- Minor background (smearing) in a few lanes but bands are clear	- Significant smearing in 1-2 lanes that may or may not make analysis difficult - Minor background (smearing) in many lanes	- Significant smearing in >2 lanes that may or may not make analysis difficult - Smearing so that a lane is not analyzable (except if untypeable [thiourea required])

5. FLOW CHART:

6. BIBLIOGRAPHY:

7. CONTACTS:

8. AMENDMENTS:

VERSION:	REPLACED BY:	AUTHORIZED BY:	Page 2 of 2
-----------------	---------------------	-----------------------	-------------