

Protocol of the 21st EURL-*Salmonella* Interlaboratory Comparison Study (November 2016) on serotyping and PFGE typing of *Salmonella* strains, for the NRL-*Salmonella* laboratories

Introduction

The European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) - *Salmonella* organises the 21st interlaboratory comparison study on the typing of *Salmonella* strains amongst the National Reference Laboratories for *Salmonella* (NRLs-*Salmonella*).

The main objective of this typing study is to test the performance of the participating laboratories for serotyping and optionally PFGE typing of *Salmonella* spp.

The study will take place in week 45 and onwards. The (slightly updated) timetable can be found on page 4 of this protocol.

Like in the last years, all data have to be reported through an electronic result form. The link for this will be sent to you by email, and will also become available at the EURL-*Salmonella* website. **Submission of serotyping data** has to be finalised on **16 December 2016** at the latest.

The study on PFGE typing will use a separate web based test report, and this link will be sent to the participants in a second email. Deadline for the electronic **submission of PFGE typing results** is **23 December 2016** at the latest.

Transportation of the *Salmonella* strains to the laboratories

The strains for the serotyping part and/or the PFGE part of the study will be transported all in one (larger) parcel. The strains will be sent as Biological Substance Category B (UN 3373) with a door-to-door courier to your laboratory.

The shipment of the strains is scheduled for Monday 7 November 2016.

Serotyping

A total number of 20 *Salmonella* strains (coded S1 - S20) have to be serotyped.

An additional *Salmonella* strain (S-21), being a less common *Salmonella* strain, is also included in the package and serotyping of this strain is optional.

The method routinely performed in your laboratory has to be used in the study. Each laboratory is allowed to send strains for serotyping to another reference laboratory in their country, if this is part of the normal routine procedure.

As explained at the recent EURL-*Salmonella* Workshops, please note to be very careful in following the exact instructions of the various manufacturers of the different sera available.

The results for each strain have to be reported with the formula for the O-antigens and H-antigens and the serovar names according to the White-Kauffman-le Minor scheme of 2007 (<http://www.pasteur.fr/ip/portal/action/WebdriveActionEvent/oid/01s-000036-089>).

Laboratories have to report only those results, on which the identification of serovar names is based.

Definite conclusions can only be based on agglutination with mono-specific antisera. Otherwise it is better to identify the strains by giving the antigenic formula as far as detected.

Examples of preferred reporting:

O-antigens	H-antigens (phase 1)	H-antigens (phase 2)	Serovar name
9,12	g,m	-	Enteritidis
4,12	i	2	Typhimurium
4,5,12	i	-	4,5,12:i:-
6,7	-	1,5	6,7:-:1,5
42	g,t	-	42:g,t:-

The evaluation of the serotyping results will be performed by the EURL-*Salmonella* according to Table 1.

Table 1. Evaluation of serotyping results

Results	Evaluation
Auto-agglutination or Incomplete set of antisera (outside range of antisera)	Not typable
Partly typable due to incomplete set of antisera or Part of the formula (for the name of the serovar) or No name serovar	Partly correct
Wrong serovar or mixed sera formula	Incorrect

Hendriksen et al. (J Clin Microbiol 47(9): 2729-2736) reported that colonial form variation may occur with the expression of the O:6₁ antigen by some serogroup C₂ serovars. Concerning the EURL-*Salmonella* interlaboratory comparison studies on serotyping it was decided to consider the serovar pairs involved (e.g. *S. Newport/S. Bardo* and *S. Hadar/S. Istanbul*) not as distinct serovars, though they should be reported as actually typed by the participants.

In practice this means that for example a 6,8:z₁₀:e,n,x typed strain has to be reported as Hadar, and a 8:z₁₀:e,n,x typed strain has to be reported as Istanbul, but that either result is considered as correct.

At the EURL-*Salmonella* workshop in Bilthoven in May 2007, the EURL-*Salmonella* made a proposal for the level of 'good performance' that the NRLs need to achieve during an interlaboratory comparison study on serotyping. Penalty points are given for strains that are typed incorrectly. A distinction is made between the five most important human health related *Salmonella* serovars (as indicated in EU legislation) and all other strains:

- **4 penalty points:** Incorrect typing of *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium* (including the monophasic variant), *S. Hadar*, *S. Infantis* or *S. Virchow*
or assigning the name of one of these five serovars to another strain.
- **1 penalty point:** Incorrect typing of all other *Salmonella* serovars.

For each NRL-*Salmonella* the total number of penalty points is determined. The NRL meets the criterion of 'good performance' if it has fewer than four penalty points.

A follow-up study will be organised for NRLs with four penalty points or more. All NRLs of the EU Member States not meeting the criterion of 'good performance' have to participate in this follow-up study.

PFGE typing

A total number of 10 *Salmonella* strains will be included in the PGFE typing study, coded P1 - P10.

Participants are asked to test these strains using their own routine PGFE method for this and give details on it in the **electronic test report**.

However, our recommended method can be found in:

- Jacobs W, Kuiling S and van der Zwaluw K, 2014. Molecular typing of *Salmonella* strains isolated from food, feed and animals: state of play and standard operating procedures for pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and Multiple-Locus Variable number tandem repeat Analysis (MLVA) typing, profiles interpretation and curation. EFSA supporting publication 2014:EN-703, 74 pp.
 (Available at:
http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/files/main_documents/703e.pdf).

Annex C of this report in fact describes the Standard PulseNet protocol *Salmonella* PFGE (Standard Operating Procedure for PulseNet PFGE of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Escherichia*

coli non-O157 (STEC), *Salmonella* serotypes, *Shigella sonnei* and *Shigella flexneri*. PNL05, effective date 03-24-2013. Available at: http://www.pulsenetinternational.org/assets/PulseNet/uploads/pfge/PNL05_Ec-Sal-ShigPFGEprotocol.pdf).

In addition, participants are requested to **email their PFGE gel images** as an *uncompressed 8-bit gray scale TIFF file* to wilma.jacobs@rivm.nl. Be sure to include at least your **laboratory code** in the name of these .tif files, preferably like: Lab99_PFGE2016.tif

The evaluation of the PFGE typing results, after digestion with XbaI, will be done on the quality of the PFGE images and quality grading will be done according to the guidelines as used in the EQAs for the FWD laboratories (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Seventh external quality assessment scheme for *Salmonella* typing. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016) and shown in Annex 1 of this Protocol. These guidelines are considered as an updated version of the PulseNet guidelines that were used in our *Salmonella* typing studies of 2013 - 2015.

To comply with these guidelines the reference strain S. Braenderup H9812 must be run in every 6 lanes as a minimum.

Like last year, we also offer the evaluation of the (optional) **analysis of the gel in Bionumerics**.

In this case, use Bionumerics to analyse your gel by creating a local database with correct experiment settings and entry-fields. The pre-configured database and instructions for use will be sent to the participants in week 45. Analyse the gel in Bionumerics including normalisation and band assignment (also see EFSA supporting publication 2014:EN-703). Prepare the ZIP (Bionumerics 7) or XML export files (Bionumerics 6 or below) according to the instructions, *including all test strains and reference strains*, as well as the TIFF image. **Email all data in a ZIP file** to wilma.jacobs@rivm.nl. Be sure to rename your zip file to include at least your **laboratory code** in the name, preferably like: Lab01_PFGE2016.zip

Evaluation of the analysis of the gel in Bionumerics will be done again according to the guidelines as used in the EQAs for the FWD laboratories (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Seventh external quality assessment scheme for *Salmonella* typing. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016) and shown in Annex 2 of this protocol.

Reporting of the results

As usual, all data have to be reported through an electronic result form. The link, also to become available on the EURL-*Salmonella* website, and password for this form will be sent by email to the participants in week 45, along with a short guidance on handling this electronic form.

Submission of serotyping data has to be finalised on **16 December 2016** at the latest.

The study on PFGE typing will use a separate web based test report, and this link will be sent to the participants in a separate email. Deadline for the electronic **submission of PFGE typing results** (web-based test report + TIFF/ZIP file) is **23 December 2016** at the latest.

Mind that the electronic result forms are no longer accessible after these deadlines! In case you foresee problems with the deadline(s), please contact us beforehand.

If you have questions or remarks about this study, or in case having problems using the electronic result forms, please contact:

Wilma Jacobs-Reitsma

EURL-*Salmonella*

Laboratory for Zoonoses and Environmental Microbiology (Z&O)

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)

P.O. Box 1

3720 BA Bilthoven

tel. number: +31 30 274 4290

e-mail: wilma.jacobs@rivm.nl

Timetable of the 21st interlaboratory comparison study (2016) on serotyping and optional PFGE typing of *Salmonella* for NRLs-*Salmonella*

Week	Date	Topic
38	19-23 September	Request for participation PFGE typing and check on contact details (serotyping is obligatory for EU NRLs).
42	21 October	Emailing of the protocol 2016 to the participants.
45	7-11 November	Shipment of the parcels to the participants as Biological Substance Category B (UN 3373) by door-to-door courier service. If you did not receive the parcel by 11 November , please contact the EURL- <i>Salmonella</i> .
45	7-11 November	Identification of the strains can start upon receipt of the strains, according to the usual practice of the laboratories.
45	7-11 November	Sending the link and the password (plus guidance document) for the web based test report on serotyping to the participants by email.
45	7-11 November	Sending to the PFGE participants by email: -the link for the separate web based test report on PFGE -instructions to report the results on the (optional) analysis of the PFGE image in Bionumerics -the files for the dedicated database for analysis in BioNumerics
45	7-11 November	Sending the 2016-Laboratory Code to each of the participants individually.
50	16 December 2016 at the latest	Deadline for completing the electronic submission of serotyping results: 16 December 2016 After this deadline, the electronic submission form for serotyping results will be closed.
51	23 December 2016 at the latest	Deadline for completing the electronic submission of PFGE typing results: 23 December 2016
	January 2017	Serotyping: Reporting of individual laboratory results and Interim Summary Report.
	April 2017	PFGE typing: Reporting of individual laboratory results and Summery Report.
	Summer 2017	Final report.

ANNEX 1 Guidelines on quality grading of PFGE images

Evaluation of the quality of the PFGE images according to the EQAs for the FWD laboratories (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Seventh external quality assessment scheme for *Salmonella* typing. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016. Available at: <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/salmonella-typing-seventh-external-quality-assessment.pdf>).

Parameter	Grade [score in points]			
	Poor [1]	Fair [2]	Good [3]	Excellent [4]
Image Acquisition and Running Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gel does not fill whole TIFF and band finding is highly affected. - Bottom band of standard not 1–1.5 cm from the bottom of the gel and analysis is highly affected. - Band spacing of standards does not match global standard and analysis is highly affected. - Too few reference lanes included. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gel does not fill whole TIFF and band finding is slightly affected. - Wells not included on TIFF. - Bottom band of standard not 1–1.5 cm from the bottom of the gel and analysis is slightly affected. - Band spacing of standards does not match global standard and analysis is slightly affected. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gel does not fill whole TIFF but band finding is not affected. - Bottom band of standard not 1–1.5 cm from the bottom of the gel but analysis is not affected. 	<p>By protocol, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gel fills whole TIFF - Wells included on TIFF - Bottom band of standard 1–1.5 cm from the bottom of the gel
Cell Suspensions	The cell concentrations are uneven from lane to lane, making analysis impossible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More than two lanes contain darker or lighter bands than the other lanes. - At least one lane is much darker or lighter than the other lanes, making the gel difficult to analyse. 	One or two lanes contain darker or lighter bands than the other lanes.	The cell concentration is approximately the same in each lane.
Bands	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Band distortion making analysis difficult. - Very fuzzy bands. - Many bands too thick to distinguish. - Bands at the bottom of the gel too light to distinguish. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some band distortion (i.e. nicks) in two or three lanes, but still analysable. - Fuzzy bands. - Some bands (four or five) are too thick. - Bands at the bottom or top of the gel are light but still analysable. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Slight band distortion in one lane, but analysis is not affected. - Bands are slightly fuzzy and/or slanted. - A few bands (three or less) are difficult to see clearly (i.e. DNA overload) especially at the bottom of the gel. 	Clear and distinct all the way to the bottom of the gel.
Lanes	'Smiling' or curving affecting analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Significant 'smiling' - Slight curves on the outside lanes, but still analysable. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Slight 'smiling' (higher bands in outside lanes than inside). - Slight curving. - Lanes gradually run longer towards the right or left, but still analysable. 	Straight
Restriction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More than one lane with several shadow bands. - Lots of shadow bands over the whole gel. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One lane with many shadow bands. - A few shadow bands spread out over several lanes. 	One or two faint shadow bands	Complete restriction in all lanes
Gel Background	Lots of debris present, making analysis impossible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some debris present that may or may not make analysis difficult (i.e. auto band search finds too many bands). - Background caused by photographing a gel with very light bands (image contrast was enhanced making the image look grainy). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mostly clear background - Minor debris not affecting analysis 	Clear
DNA Degradation (smearing in the lanes)	Smearing making several lanes unanalysable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Significant smearing in one or two lanes that may or may not make analysis difficult. - Minor background (smearing) in many lanes. 	Minor background (smearing) in a few lanes but bands are clear.	Not present

ANNEX 2 Evaluation of gel analysis of PFGE images in Bionumerics

Evaluation of gel analysis of PFGE images in Bionumerics according to the EQAs for the FWD laboratories (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Seventh external quality assessment scheme for *Salmonella* typing. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016. Available at: <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/salmonella-typing-seventh-external-quality-assessment.pdf>).

Parameter	Grade [score in points]		
	Poor [1]	Fair [2]	Excellent [3]
Position of Gel Frame	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wells wrongly included when placing the frame - Gel is not inverted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The frame is positioned too low. - Too much space framed at the bottom of the gel. - Too much space framed on the sides of the gel. 	Excellent placement of frame and gel is inverted.
Strips	Lanes incorrectly defined.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lanes are defined too narrowly (or widely). - Lanes are defined outside profile. - A single lane is not correctly defined. 	All lanes correctly defined.
Curves	Curve set so that artefacts will cause wrong band assignment.	Curve extraction is defined either too narrowly or including almost the whole lane.	1/3 or more of the lane is used for averaging curve extraction.
Normalization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Many bands not assigned in the reference lanes. - The references were not included when submitting the data. - Assignment of band(s) in reference lane(s) to incorrect size(s). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bottom bands <33kb are not assigned in some or all of the reference lanes. - Some bands wrongly assigned in reference lane(s). 	All bands correctly assigned in all reference lanes
Band Assignment	Incorrect band assignment making inter-laboratory comparison impossible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Few double bands assigned as single bands or single bands assigned as double bands. - Few shadow bands are assigned. - Few bands are not assigned. 	Excellent band assignment with regard to the quality of the gel.

Note that the EFSA supporting publication 2014:EN-703 (recommended SOP) states: When using the *S. Braenderup* H9812 reference, visible bands of *test* isolates should be marked down to ~33 kb (third band from the bottom of the H9812 reference), but not below (referring to *Band Assignment*).

In *Normalisation*, all bottom bands (also < 33 kb) in all *reference* lanes are assigned.